



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/622,978

07/18/2003

Jimmy R. Roehrig

061154-0075US

3001

24341

7590

06/09/2008

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.

2 PALO ALTO SQUARE

3000 EL CAMINO REAL

PALO ALTO, CA 94306

EXAMINER

RASHID, DAVID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/622,978

**Applicant(s)**

ROEHRIG ET AL.

**Examiner**

DAVID P. RASHID

**Art Unit**

2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID P. RASHID (examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) FRANK E. MORRIS (Reg. No. 24,615).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 22 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,657,362.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences between the prior art of record and the claims in question, including (i) the claimed step of converting the processed image into a standard form image; and (ii) the patentability of claims 22, 28. Though no agreement was reached, Applicant's representative agreed to file a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Vikram Bali/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 2624  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.